

# Deliverable B.5.2

## Analysis report of replicability of Guidelines

Version 1.0





















### **Document history**

Version	Date	Description
1.0	15.05.2019	1 <sup>st</sup> version of the document





















#### **Description**

Action B5 of TACKLE aims to multiply the impacts of TACKLE's solutions and to spread its results towards additional entities, regions and countries. To this end, sub-action B5.1 foresees the constitution of a Replicability Management Board (hereinafter RMB), led by UEFA. The function of the RMB is to assess and monitor the replicability potential of TACKLE activities, validating its outputs and deliverables under the replicability perspective.

One of the main deliverables of the Preparatory Action A1 is the realization of the first draft of the **Environmental Management Guidelines** to be tested in the pilot projects envisaged in Action B.1.

As one of the core documents for the development of the pilot projects, the Guidelines have to be assessed by the RMB in relation to their replicability potential in other geographical contexts.

To this end, SSSA has developed a short questionnaire aimed at collecting feedbacks and suggestions from the non-TACKLE partners RMB members and asked them to fill it. This report synthetizes the main outcomes of this consultation phase.

#### **RMB** members

RMB is led by UEFA and includes all the TACKLE partners. In addition, other 9 external members joined the Board:

- 1. Association of Football Federations of the Azerbaijan Republic (AFFA) NFA;
- 2. Comitato Olimpico Nazionale Italiano (CONI) Olympic stadium owner;
- 3. Deutscher Fußball-Bund (DFB) NFA;
- 4. Federação Portuguesa de Futebol (FPF) NFA;
- **5.** Football Association of Ireland (FAI) NFA;
- **6.** Liechtenstein Football Association (LFV) NFA;
- 7. Real Betis Balompié Football Club;
- **8.** Royal Belgian Football Association (RBFA) NFA;
- **9.** City of Copenaghen Municipality.

The Guidelines evaluation questionnaire was distributed among all these 9 RMB members but only 4 filled and returned it SSSA:

1. Association of Football Federations of the Azerbaijan Republic (AFFA) - NFA;





















- 2. Liechtenstein Football Association (LFV) NFA;
- 3. Royal Belgian Football Association (RBFA) NFA;
- 4. City of Copenaghen Municipality

The present document thus, took into consideration the evaluation conducted by these 4 RMB members.

#### **Guidelines evaluation**

All the questions asked to express agreement on a scale from 1 to 5 where 1 stands for totally disagree and 5 stands for totally agree.

The first questions of the evaluation questionnaire were aimed at collecting feedback on the overall structure of the Guidelines. The results indicate that the Guidelines were considered easy to understand (4.75 on 5) and that the titles of the selected best practices resulted easy to associate with the related content (4.25 on 5). On the other hand, more details on the singular practices would be appreciated.

In particular, further details would be appreciated on:

- Quantified environmental benefits achievable (5)
- Previous experiences in the application of the practice (4.5)
- Operational steps to implement to apply the practice (4.5)
- Quantified economic effort to apply it (4)

It was decided to include also some best practices applied to other types of major events like other types of sports or Universal Exposition, fairs and concerts in the Guidelines. This choice was appreciated (4.25 on 5). In fact, also the judgement on the consistency of the selected best practices with the main environmental issues linked to football events was very positive (4.6 on 5).

In relation to the replicability potential in their own context, the average response was 3.5 on 5. The main comments on replicability limits were related to the economic efforts required for the implementation of some of the selected best practices and to the regulatory framework and the infrastructure readiness of certain EU Countries that might prevent their adoption (i.e. lack of composting facilities next to the stadium would harness the usage of compostable tableware).





















#### **Conclusion**

The RMB evaluation of the first draft of the Environmental Management Guidelines was very positive. Some comments were made on the replicability potential of some of the selected best practices. It has to be stated that the Guidelines collect a high number of potential actions and strategies to adopt during football events with the clear aim of providing a rich menu of different possibilities: it is evident that the right combination of best practices will have to be selected on an ad hoc basis for every single event.

In any case, the replicability potential of the selected best practices will be further tested during the pilot projects envisaged in Action B1. The Guidelines will be revised accordingly: in that occasion, the outcomes of the RMB evaluation will be taken into consideration.

















